

The Semantic and the Syntactic Perspective

❖ Logical Consequence

$$\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_k \models \psi$$

iff

all valuations V 's that make $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_k$ true also make ψ true

The Semantic and the Syntactic Perspective

❖ Logical Consequence

$$\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_k \models \psi$$

iff

all valuations V 's that make $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_k$ true also make ψ true

❖ Derivability

$$\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_k \vdash \psi$$

iff

there is a derivation whose assumptions are $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_k$ and whose conclusion is ψ

What Does a Derivation Look Like?

$$\frac{[\varphi]^1}{\varphi \vee \neg\varphi} \vee I \quad \frac{[\neg(\varphi \vee \neg\varphi)]^2}{\neg(\varphi \vee \neg\varphi)} \rightarrow E$$

$$\frac{\perp}{\neg\varphi} \rightarrow I^1$$
$$\frac{\perp}{\varphi \vee \neg\varphi} \vee I$$

$$\frac{\perp}{\varphi \vee \neg\varphi} RAA^2 \quad \frac{[\neg(\varphi \vee \neg\varphi)]^2}{\neg(\varphi \vee \neg\varphi)} \rightarrow E$$